

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,556	12/28/2005	Tsutomu Inuzuka	2005_1893A	8242
52349 WENDEROTH	7590 09/11/2007 I, LIND & PONACK L.L.P.	,	EXAMINER	
2033 K. STRE		: -	NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	.,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/562,556	INUZUKA, TSUTOMU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2005 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ton is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/28/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. [JP S47-7821] in view of Ritzen et al. [US 3,709,822].

Suzuki et al. discloses a magnetic ferrite for forming a magnetic core comprising iron oxide, cobalt oxide and zinc oxide as the main component, wherein the iron oxide in the range of 42 to 48 mol%, the cobalt oxide in the range of 44 to 56 mol% and the zinc oxide in the range of 2 to 8 mol%.

Suzuki et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the present of at least one of titanium, tantalum, indium, zirconium, lithium, tin and vanadium.

Ritzen et al. discloses a magnetic material for a magnetic core comprising iron oxide, cobalt oxide, zinc oxide and lithium oxide.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include lithium in the magnetic ferrite of Suzuki et al., as suggested by Ritzen et al., for the purpose of improving magnetic properties.

Suzuki et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the specific range of the mol%.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use 42 to 50 mol% of iron oxide, 42 to 52 mol% of cobalt oxide and 3 to

14 mol% of zinc oxide for the magnetic ferrite of Suzuki, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 3, the specific wt% of lithium oxide would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environments use.

Regarding claims 4-15, Ritzen et al. discloses the magnetic core for use in various magnetic devices such as deflection coil.

The specific uses or intended uses of the insulating magnetic core in any magnetic device would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environments use.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,556

Art Unit: 2832

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TN TN

TUYEN T. NGUYEN
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800